# ANNUAL OPERATING INSTRUCTIONS ALAMO ALLOTMENT 2003

## I. AUTHORIZATION

This documents our meeting of March 31, 2003.

For the 2003 grazing season, applications for the following were approved:

46 Adult Cattle 06/01/2003 – 10/31/2003

The authorized livestock numbers and grazing periods are no guarantee that they will stay on a pasture for the full grazing period or the allotment for the full season. Below average forage production may require that cattle will be removed from the allotment earlier than expected. This decision will be made in cooperation with you and based on the utilization for key species and key areas as outlined in these Annual Operating Instructions.

The rotation plan (Table 1) is subject to change due to unforeseen circumstances such as lack of water and forage in the pastures. The Forest Service or the permittee can initiate changes of pastures outside of the above rotation plan in coordination with this office. The permittee or this office must submit a written justification before change is made.

Table 1. Authorized grazing rotation.				
<b>Numbers of Cattle</b>	Pasture	<b>Grazing Period</b>		
46	Sanchez/Capulin	6/1-6/30		
46	Graduation Flats/Sawyer	7/1-8/31		
46	Mesa Del Rito	9/1-9/31		
46	Graduation Flats/Sawyer	10/1-10/31		

Table 2. Non-use for resource protection (drought) is being granted as follows:			
Numbers of Cattle Kind/Class		Period	
20	Cattle/Cow/calf	6/1 - 10/31	

Table 3. 2002 Grazing Season Actual Use:				
<b>Numbers of Cattle</b>	of Cattle Kind/Class Period			
25	Cattle/Cow/calf	6/1 - 7/15		
35	Cattle/Cow/calf	7/16 – 10/31		

Any rotation schedule on this allotment is highly dependant on water availability. This schedule is based on past experience as to where and how much water will be available to gain good distribution for that time of year. It is important to recognize that substantial increases in forage production due to the Dome wildfire and subsequent reseeding brought about new grazable areas

and possibly increased water availability. Also there has been elimination of dense forest that served as natural barriers.

These factors all affect how cattle will use the area. It is anticipated that cattle may move into areas previously not grazed. Monitoring distribution patterns, forage use, and water availability will need to take place and any problems with concentrated use noted and dealt with in a timely fashion. This information will also be used to determine future management actions.

## II. UTILIZATION/MONITORING

The proper utilization of forage is a critical factor in livestock management on the Alamo Allotment. We will be checking levels of use to insure that forage utilization is within recommended levels during the grazing season. Key areas and key species (Table 4) will be monitored within the allotment.

Table 4. Key areas and key species for the San Diego Allotment				
Site #	Pasture/Key Area Location	Key Grass Species		
1	Mesa Del Rito/ Upper Mesa	Kentucky Bluegrass Mountain Muhly		
2	Sawyer/ Mesa Sawyer	Kentucky Bluegrass Mountain Muhly		
3	Graduation Flats/ Spruce	Kentucky Bluegrass Mountain Muhly		
4	Capulin/ North Los Utes	Kentucky Bluegrass Mountain Muhly		
5	Sanchez/ Dome Road	Mountain Muhly		

Both the Forest Service and the permittee are responsible for monitoring forage use and stubble height on the allotment to ensure that proper use levels are not exceeded. We are primarily concerned with use levels in canyon bottoms where cattle tend to concentrate use on palatable forage. We have identified key areas to be monitored on this allotment (map available upon request from this office). Maximum allowable use is set for a conservative grazing intensity of less than 40% for the Alamo Allotment. Please refer to the Table 5 and 6 for descriptors to aid in determining forage use levels.

<b>Table 5</b> . Qualitative characteristics of grazing intensity categories used to characterize					
New Mexico rangel	New Mexico rangelands.				
Qualitative	Use of Forage	Qualitative Indicators of Grazing Intensity			
Grazing Intensity	by Weight				
Category					
Light to non-use	0-30	Only choice plants (key species) and areas show			
	use. There is no use of poor forage plants				
Conservative 31-40 Choice forage plants (key species) have abund					
seed stalks; Areas more than a mile from water					
show little use: About one third to one half					
primary forage plants show grazing on key areas.					

Continued on next page.

Moderate	41-50	Most of accessible range show use; Key areas show patchy appearance with one half to two thirds of primary forage plants (key species) showing use; Grazing is noticeable 1-1½ miles from water
Heavy	51-60	Nearly all primary forage plants show grazing on key areas: Palatable shrubs show hedging: Key areas show a lack of seed stalks: Grazing is noticeable in areas over 1½ miles from water
Severe	61+	Key areas show a clipped or mowed appearance (no stubble ht.): Shrubs are severely hedged; There is evidence of livestock trailing to forage; Areas over 1½ miles from water lack stubble height.

Forage use levels should be monitored periodically by the permittee to aid in determining when livestock should be moved between pastures. Permittee inspection summary sheets are provided for your convenience in order to record any of your observations throughout the season. You are highly encouraged to record your allotment visits to aid in documenting allotment conditions. Forest Service personnel will attempt to visit at least one key area within each grazed pasture during the second half of the scheduled grazing period. If areas within a pasture or the pasture as a whole begin to approach the identified stubble heights (Table 4), actions will need to be taken to limit additional forage use. If use within that key area is approaching allowable stubble heights (in red) then the remaining key areas will also be monitored and the pasture inspected to determine overall use patterns. This information will be used to determine if cattle are to be re-distributed within the pasture or removed from the pasture.

<b>Table 6</b> . Grazing intensity guide for mountain grassland rangeland in New Mexico.						
Qualitative	Use of	Stul	bble Height In	dicators of C	Grazing Inte	nsity
Grazing	Forage					
Intensity	by					
Category	Weight					
		Arizona	Western	Bluegrass	Mountain	Thurbers
		Fescue	Wheatgrass		Muhly	Fescue
	(%)-	Inches				
Light to	0-30	8+	7+	5+	5+	8+
none-use						
Conservative	31-40	6-7	4-5	4-5	4-5	6-7
Moderate	41-50	5-6	3-4	3-4	3-4	5-6
Heavy	51-60	4-5	2-3	2-3	2-3	4-5
Severe	61+	<4	<2	<2	<2	<4

The Forest Service may or may not conduct inspections on the grazing allotment during the ongoing grazing period as time and personnel availability allows. Monitoring items such as distribution patterns, range readiness, cattle numbers, forage use levels, and condition of improvements will be evaluated. The range readiness inspection will determine whether range vegetation and soils are ready for grazing.

#### **III. 2002 Monitoring Results**

End of year monitoring results indicated that in general, utilization and stubble height requirements were met for grazing year 2002. The exception was the Graduation Flats area, utilization and stubble height requirements were exceeded during the 2002 grazing year. Refrain from allowing cattle into this area until after June 30<sup>th</sup>, as indicated in the rotation schedule.

#### IV. DEVELOPMENT WORK

In order to further develop the allotment, the Forest Service and the permittee have cooperatively agreed to complete the following projects. In general, Range Betterment Funds (derived from the grazing fees) can be used for approximately one-half of the cost of any project. Please be aware that it usually will be necessary to plan projects with at least one year lead time to allow required documentation and to obtain the necessary funding and clearances. Project work agreed to under Cooperative Improvement Modifications are to be completed within established time frames and to the standards attached to and made a part of the agreement unless otherwise modified by the Forest Officer.

## 1. Projects remaining from previous years:

Alamo Corral

### 2. Projects for this year:

Silva Spring Development – pending preparation of necessary documentation. Alamo Spring Development – pending preparation of necessary documentation.

DO NOT begin the above projects until notified by this office that all necessary documentation has been completed.

### 3. Future FY projects:

Mesa del Rito Trick Tank 288 Trick Tank Silva and Spruce Gap Fences Balitas Cattle Guard/fence

NEPA analysis and the Allotment Management Plan are scheduled to be completed in 2006.

#### V. SPECIAL MITIGATION MEASURES FOR CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES

Seasonal restrictions (March 1-August 15) on construction activities apply in known breeding areas for threatened and endangered species. Any new construction or reconstruction activities will need to be coordinated with this office. **This does not apply to routine maintenance of existing range improvements.** 

#### VI. MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

Maintenance of improvements i.e. (fences, springs, tanks, wells) should be complete and in satisfactory condition prior to entry date to the specific pasture. Please refer to your permit map for your responsibilities. If your maintenance responsibilities do not meet satisfactory condition you will not be permitted to turn out or your entry date may be delayed.

#### VII. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL

This decision is subject to administrative review, pursuant to 36 CFR 251; and any appeal of this decision must be fully consistent with 36 CFR 251.90 (see enclosed copy of 36 CFR 251 regulations). In accordance with 36 CFR 251.84, if you file an appeal, I am willing to meet with you to discuss any concerns you may have with my decision. If you file an appeal, you may request an oral presentation in the appeal or at any time prior to closing of the appeal record (36 CFR 251.97). Additionally, if you file an appeal, you may request a stay of my decision in the appeal or at any time prior to closing of the appeal record (36 CFR 251.91).

A notice of appeal must be filed with Leonard Atencio, Forest Supervisor, Santa Fe National Forest, at 1474 Rodeo Road, Santa Fe, NM 87505, within 45 days of the date of this decision. A copy of the notice of appeal must be filed simultaneously with John F. Peterson, District Ranger, Jemez Ranger District, P. O. Box 150, Jemez Springs, NM 87025.

The permittee should always ensure that full and sincere attempts to resolve disagreements through working with the District Ranger has occurred prior to filing a Notice to Appeal. The District Ranger is willing to meet with any permittee to discuss any issues related to the action.

/s/ John F. Peterson	04/02/2003	
JOHN F. PETERSON	Date	
District Ranger		

# ALLOTMENT INSPECTION SUMMARY Alamo 2002

Date	Inspection Summary (What did you see?)	Action Taken (What did you do about it?)